



Journal of Applied and Natural Science 9 (4): 1916 -1920 (2017)



Efficacy of botanicals and fungicides against *Rhizoctonia solani* inciting sheath blight disease on Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Vipin Kumar¹, V.P. Chaudhary¹, Dharmendra Kumar¹, Ajay Kumar¹, Sushma Sagar², Sorabh Chaudhary²

¹Department of Plant Pathology, Narendra Dev University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad-224229 (Uttar Pradesh), INDIA

²Department of Agriculture Biotechnology, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut- 250110 (Uttar Pradesh), INDIA

*Corresponding author. E-mail: vipinsre489@gmail.com

Received: November 18, 2016; Revised received: April 28, 2017; Accepted: September 7, 2017

Abstract: Among the fungal diseases, sheath blight, caused by multinucleate *Rhizoctoniasolani* Kuhn (teleomorph: *Thanatephorus cucumeris* Donk), a ubiquitous pathogen, is an important fungal disease of rice ranking only after blast and often rivalling it. The potential losses due to sheath blight alone in India has been up to 51.3%. In this study an attempt was made to investigate the antifungal efficacy of botanicals viz., neem (*Azadirachta indica*), tulsi (*Ocimum sanctum*), garlic (*Allium sativum*), onion (*Allium cepa*), ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) and various fungicides namely mancozeb, propiconazole, hexaconazole, carbendazim, and copper oxychloride against *Rhizoctoniasolani* *in vitro* by poison food technique. *R. solani* was allowed to grow at 5%, 10% concentrations of botanicals and at 200, 500, 1000 ppm of fungicides amended potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium. The effect of botanicals and fungicides on mycelial growth inhibition was recorded after 36, 48 and 72 post hrs inoculation (phi). It was observed that bulb extract of *Allium sativum* and rhizome extract of *Zingiber officinale* suppressed the mycelial growth (80.19 and 76.32, respectively) @ 10% followed by leaf extract of *Azadirachta indica* (72.78 %) after 72 phi. Among the fungicides, the complete fungal growth inhibition was observed in propiconazole and carbendazim fungicides amended medium.

Keywords: Garlic, Efficacy, Chemical fungicides, *Rhizoctoniasolani*, Sheath blight

INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important staple food crop and grown in India providing of 43% of calorie requirement for more than 70.0% of the Indian population of the world. Globally, rice annual production of around 497.9 million tonnes with average productivity of 3.9 tonnes/ha (Anonymous, 2016). The annual production of rice in the country is around 103.36 million tonnes (Anonymous, 2016) and the average productivity in the country across all the eco-systems is still around 2 tonnes/hectare of milled rice. In India, Uttar Pradesh ranks 3rd in the production of rice. The annual rice production is around 12 metric tons with the average productivity of about 2 tons/ha (Dwivedi, 2014). Rice cultivation is often subjected to several biotic stresses of which diseases like blast, sheath blight, stem rot and bacterial blight are the important ones (Kumar *et al.*, 2009). Sheath blight in rice is an important soil-borne fungal disease (*Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn) causing up to 25% of yield losses (Zhenget *al.*, 2013). The disease was first reported from Japan in 1910 by Miyake, who named the causal organism *Sclerotium mirrular* (Miyake, 1910). Subsequently, in Sri Lanka, China and the Philippines the

pathogen was identified as *Rhizoctonia solani* (Park and Bertus, 1932; Wei, 1934; Reinking, 1918). In India, the first report of its occurrence was by Paracer and Chahal (1963) from Gurudashpur, Punjab and later it was reported from Uttar Pradesh (Kohli, 1966).

Presently, no strong genetic sources of resistance are reported against rice sheath blight disease. The rice sheath blight resistance among the cultivable varieties in India currently ranges only from very susceptible to moderately resistant (Kumar *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, integrated management of this disease below its economic threshold is important for increasing the production, productivity and quality of the produce. The use of botanicals in the management of rice sheath blight is gaining importance of late. Different plant extracts are being used all over the world and among them; neem formulations are very effective in controlling the sheath blight incidence as well as in increasing grain yields (Biswas, 2007). Tomato and escarole green manure were reported as the most suppressive ones in suppressing of *R. solani* damping-off on *Lepidiumsativum* (Pane *et al.*, 2011). Plant extracts that can control the growth of *R. solani* are the extraction of garlic bulb with saponins, extraction of *Piceane-*

oveitchii with four flavonoids (Song *et al.*, 2011), cauliflower with caulilexins (Soledade *et al.*, 2006), extraction of *Anemarrhena asphodeloides* rhizomes with nysol (Z)-1, 3-bis (4-hydroxyphenyl)-1,4-pentadiene (Park *et al.*, 2003). *Brassica juncea*, *B.napus*, and *Sinapis alba* which are added to the soil can protect wheat from rot root of *R. solani* (Handiseniet *al.*, 2013). Application of fungicidal mixtures and pesticides for the control of pest and diseases is common in rice. The compatibility of these chemicals is a pre-requisite for effective management of these biotic diseases. Field studies indicated that combined application of the insecticide imidacloprid (Confidor 200 SL) at 0.25ml/L and the fungicide validamycin (Rhizocin 3L) at 2.5 ml/L were highly compatible and effective in reducing plant hopper and Sheath blight incidence besides contributing to yield increase (Bhanu *et al.*, 2007). Management of this pathogen using various fungicides such as Carbendazim 50% WP, Copper oxychloride 50% WP, Hexaconazole 5% SC, and Mancozeb 75% WP has been reported (Ranjana *et al.*, 2005; Kumar *et al.* 2009; Sriraj *et al.*, 2014).

Hence, considering economic importance of the crop and the disease, the present investigation was undertaken to evaluate the efficacy of botanicals and commercial fungicides against *R. solani* and to find out the suitable management practice to mitigate the disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

***In vitro* effect of botanicals on radial growth of *R. solani*:** Efficacy of five plants extract viz., leaves of Neem and Tulsi, Bulb of Garlic and Onion and Rhizome of Ginger which were found most effective against *R. solani* among 16 botanicals (Verma, 2011) were assessed. The detailed description of plants and their parts used in this study are given in Table 1. Fresh leaves, bulb and rhizome were collected and washed thoroughly in double distilled water. Hundred gram of each washed plant material was grinded in Pestle and Mortar by adding equal amount (100 ml) of sterilized water (1: 1 w/v) and heated at 80°C for 10 min in hot water bath. The materials were filtered through double layered muslin cloth followed by filtering through sterilized Whatman No. 1 filter paper and treated as standard plant extract (100%). The 5.0% and 10.0% concentration was made by adding in requisite amount of sterilized potato dextrose agar medium. From the stock solution of these extracts 5ml and 10 ml solution were added to 95.0 and 90.0 ml of sterilized cooled potato dextrose agar medium. The flasks were thoroughly mixed to obtain a homogeneous mixture of the extracts and potato dextrose agar medium under aseptic condition before pouring it into the petridishes. 20 ml medium was poured into each petridish, 5 treatments having four replications were maintained. Control treatment was maintained by pouring potato dextrose agar medium without plant

extracts. The five mm discs of four days old culture of *R. solani* were cut with sterilized cork borer and placed in the centre of petridish containing botanicals amended PDA medium. The fungus grown on PDA without plant extracts served as control. The plates were incubated at 26±1°C in BOD. The observations were recorded at 36, 48 and 72 post hrs inoculation. The growth diameter was recorded and percent inhibition was calculated.

***In vitro* efficacy of fungicides on mycelial growth of *R. solani*:** Fungicides evaluation was carried out for their efficacy to inhibit the mycelial growth of *R. solani* isolates by “poisoned food technique”. The fungicides viz., copper oxichloride, mancozeb, carbendazim, hexaconazole and propiconazole were used at concentrations of 200 ppm, 500 ppm and 1000 ppm Table 2. Stock solution of each fungicide was prepared in distilled water and incorporated into Potato dextrose agar medium and mixed thoroughly before autoclaving. After autoclaving the medium was poured aseptically in sterilized Petri plates of 9 cm size in inoculation chamber and allowed to cool. Mycelial plugs of the pathogens (5 mm) taken from a seven days old culture were placed at the centre of each Petri plate and incubated at 27°C. Three replications were maintained for each treatment. The experiment was arranged in a completely randomized block design. The fungus grown on PDA without any fungicides served as control. The radial growth of colony was recorded at 36, 48, 72 post hour inoculation and the percent growth inhibition was calculated by using formula (Vincent, 1947).

$$I = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

Where,

I = Per cent inhibition of fungal growth

C = Radial growth of control

T = Radial growth of treated Petridish.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

***In vitro* effect of botanicals on radial growth of *R. solani*:** *Allium sativum* (garlic) bulb extract showed maximum inhibition of 59.01% and 80.19% @ 5% and 10% concentration respectively at 72 hours post inoculation and it was found significantly superior to other extracts Table 3. This was followed by rhizome extract of *Zingiber officinale* (ginger) and *Azadirachta indica* (neem) leaf extract which showed an inhibition of 76.32% and 72.78% respectively, @ 10% concentration at 72 hours post inoculation. All the five aqueous plants extract showed less mycelial growth inhibition at 5% concentration as compared to 10% concentration of plants extract.

Plant extract are not only easy to prepare but also non-polluting to the environment and low priced as compared to commercial fungicides. This is supported by

Table 1. Botanicals and their part used in this study.

S. N.	Common name	English name	Botanical name	Family	Part used
1.	Neem	Neem	<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	Meliaceae	Leaves
2.	Tulsi	Tulsi	<i>Ocimum sanctum</i>	Lamiaceae	Leaves
3.	Lahsun	Garlic	<i>Allium sativum</i>	Liliaceae	Bulb
4.	Pyaz	Onion	<i>Allium cepa</i>	Liliaceae	Bulb
5.	Adarakh	Ginger	<i>Zingiber officinale</i>	Zingiberaceae	Rhizome

Table 2. Agrochemicals and their concentration used in this study.

S. N.	Trade name	Common name	Chemical name	Concentration (ppm)	Source of availability
1	Bavistin-50 (WP)	Carbendazim	Methyl 1, 2-3 Benzimidazole-2-yl Carbamate	200, 500, 1000	BASF India Ltd
2	Indofil M-45 (75 WP)	Mancozeb	Manganese ethylene bisdithiocarbamate	200, 500, 1000	India chemicals Company
3	Blitox-50 (WP)	Copper oxychloride	Cu cl ₂ , 3 Cu (OH) ₂	200, 500, 1000	RALLIS INDIA Ltd.
4	Tilt-25 (EC)	Propiconazole	1-[2-(2, 4 Dichlorophenyl)-4 Propyl-1-3,3-Dioxolan-2-yl]-Methyl]-1H-1,2,4 Triazole	200, 500, 1000	Hindustan CIBA-GEIGY Ltd.
5	Cantaf- 5 (SC)	Hexaconazole	2-(2, 4-Dichloroheyl)-1(1H-1,2,4-Trizole-1-yl) Rills Agrochemicals hexan-2-01	200, 500, 1000	RALLIS INDIA Ltd.

Table 3. Efficacy of botanicals against *R. solani* *in vitro* at different time intervals.

Plant extract	Concentrations	Inhibition after 36hrs		Inhibition after 48hrs		Inhibition after 72hrs	
		Radial growth (mm)	Inhibition %	Radial growth (mm)	Inhibition %	Radial growth (mm)	Inhibition %
Neem	5%	30.25	45.0 (42.13)	35.25	50.60 (34.45)	37.18	54.49 (47.56)
	10%	19.5	64.54 (53.43)	21.75	69.18 (56.29)	22.25	72.78 (58.50)
Tulsi	5%	34.25	37.72 (37.58)	39.43	45.03 (42.13)	41.87	48.76 (44.51)
	10%	23.0	58.18 (49.72)	24.75	65.51 (54.03)	25.75	68.49 (55.86)
Garlic	5%	27.0	50.9 (45.52)	30.62	57.30 (49.30)	33.5	59.01 (50.18)
	10%	15.0	72.72 (58.50)	15.68	78.13 (62.24)	16.18	80.19 (63.58)
Onion	5%	32.0	41.81 (40.26)	36.25	49.47 (44.83)	40.5	51.06 (45.57)
	10%	21.0	61.81 (51.83)	23.43	67.32 (55.12)	24.43	70.21 (56.51)
Ginger	5%	29.25	46.81 (43.17)	32.81	54.26 (47.47)	35.0	57.17 (49.08)
	10%	17.18	68.76 (55.98)	18.43	74.32 (59.54)	19.35	76.32 (60.87)
Control	-	55.0	-	71.75	-	81.75	-
	5%	2.23	0.81	0.27	0.86	1.79	1.51
SEM ±	10%	2.07 +	2.18	1.20	1.24	1.21	0.92
	5%	6.63	2.43	0.81	2.58	5.32	4.49
CD at 5%	10%	6.15	6.49	3.56	3.70	3.56	2.74

the work of Alibi and Olorunju (2004). In their studies, plants sprayed with neem seed extract gave yields higher than the plants sprayed with black soap and cow dung extract. Mishra *et al.* (2005) also found *Zingiber officinale*, *Ocimum sanctum*, *Azadirachta indica* and *Allium cepa* were effective against *R. solani* causing web blight disease in green gram. Yadav, (2007) found out of 8 plant extracts, Garlic extract gave maximum inhibition in mycelial growth followed by Ginger, Neem, Onion, Dhatura, Tulsi against *R. solani* causing web blight of French bean. Gurjaret *et al.* (2012) reported that *A. indica* and *A. vera* showed inhibition of mycelial growth of the pathogen and can be utilized for the management of fungal diseases caused by the *Aspergillus niger*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *R. solani*, *R. bataticola*. Srirajet *et al.* (2014) found *Madhucal longifolia* seed

and oil extract most effective among the nine botanicals tested against the leaf blight of turmeric. In the present study, garlic bulb extract showed maximum inhibition and it was significantly superior to other plant extract. All the tested botanicals (Neem, Tulsi, Garlic, Onion and Ginger) showed mycelial growth inhibition of *R. solani* over the control.

In vitro efficacy of fungicides on mycelial growth of *R. solani*: Propiconazole and carbendazim were individually effective against the pathogen even at the lowest concentration of 200 ppm by maximum inhibiting the mycelial growth and sclerotia formation. At 1000 ppm these fungicides completely inhibited the mycelial growth of *R. solani*. It was significantly superior over other fungicides and on par with each other. It was followed by mancozeb, hexaconazole and copper ox-

Table 4. Efficacy of Fungicides against *R. solani* *in vitro* at different time intervals.

Fungicides	Concentration (ppm)	Inhibition after 36 hr		Inhibition after 48 hr		Inhibition after 72 hr	
		Radial growth (mm)	Inhibition %	Radial growth (mm)	Inhibition %	Radial growth (mm)	Inhibition %
Mancozeb	200	4.5	92.24 (73.78)	6.25	91.31 (72.84)	6.75	91.27 (72.84)
	500	2.5	95.68 (77.89)	3.0	95.65 (77.89)	3.75	95.99 (78.46)
	1000	1.0	98.27 (82.29)	1.25	98.25 (82.29)	1.37	98.24 (82.29)
	200	3.0	94.82 (76.82)	4.0	94.43 (76.31)	4.25	94.54 (76.44)
Propiconazole	500	1.5	97.41 (80.72)	1.75	97.56 (81.09)	1.85	97.62 (81.09)
	1000	0	100 (90.0)	0.0	100 (90.0)	0	100 (90.0)
	200	4.25	92.67 (74.21)	5.5	92.35 (73.89)	6.0	92.30 (73.89)
	500	2.0	96.55 (79.22)	2.5	96.51 (79.22)	2.75	96.47 (79.22)
Hexaconazole	1000	0.25	99.56 (84.47)	0.35	99.29 (84.32)	0.5	99.35 (84.35)
	200	3.5	93.96 (75.70)	4.75	93.40 (75.11)	5.0	93.58 (71.95)
	500	1.75	96.98 (79.86)	2.0	97.22 (80.37)	2.2	97.17 (80.19)
	1000	0	100 (90.0)	0.0	100 (90.0)	0	100 (90.0)
Carbendazim	200	5.25	90.94 (72.44)	6.75	90.61 (72.15)	7.5	90.38 (71.95)
	500	3.0	94.82 (76.82)	3.75	94.78 (76.82)	4.0	94.86 (76.82)
	1000	1.75	96.98 (80.02)	2.66	96.29 (78.91)	2.18	97.19 (80.37)
	Copper Oxychloride						
Control %		58.0	-	72.0	-	78.0	-
SEM±	200	1.31	1.68	1.4	0.36	1.27	1.19
	500	1.28	2.21	0.33	2.48	1.21	1.61
	1000	0.97	0.89	0.24	1.24	0.94	0.47
	200	3.89	4.99	4.16	1.08	3.78	3.56
CD at 5%	500	3.82	6.57	0.99	7.37	3.6	4.81
	1000	2.89	2.65	0.72	3.7	2.8	1041

ychloride at 500 ppm (Table 4). The least effective fungicides were mancozeb and copper oxychloride (98.24% and 97.19% respectively at 1000 ppm). It was noted that as the concentration of fungicides increased, the mycelial inhibition and sclerotial formation was arrested.

Hunjanet *al.* (2012) reported that fungicides viz., trifloxystrobin+ tebuconazole, tebuconazole and propiconazole showed higher level of efficacy against *R. solani* of rice in laboratory conditions. Among the new formulations, Nativo and Bavistin were individually effective against the pathogen in inhibiting the mycelia growth and sclerotial production at lower concentration (Srirajet *al.* 2014). Tiwariet *al.* (2002) reported that propiconazole and hexaconazole at 1000 ppm concentration completely inhibit the radial growth *Rhizoctoniasolani*. Gupta (2002) also reported that carbendazim inhibited 95-100 per cent radial growth of *Rhizoctoniasolani*. In the present study, among different fungicides screened for *R. solani*, propiconazole and carbendazim were individually effective against the pathogen in inhibiting the mycelial growth and sclerotial production even at the lowest concentration of 200 ppm.

Conclusion

In the present study, the different botanicals and fungicides showed as effective control agents against *R. solani* though their efficacy varied among botanicals and fungicides. It was observed that among the five tested botanicals garlic bulb extract @ 10% concentra-

tion show maximum mycelial growth inhibition of *R. solani* under *in vitro* condition, and among the five fungicide propiconazole and carbendazim @ 1000 ppm showed complete fungal mycelia growth inhibition of *R. solani*. Further studies are needed on these promising botanicals to identify potential compounds produced and evaluate other possible mode of actions before going to field studies.

REFERENCES

- Alibi, O. and Olorunju, E.P. (2004). Evaluation of neem seed extract, black Soap and cow dung for the control of Groundnut leaf spot at samara. *Nigeria. Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot.* 37: 123-127.
- Anonymous, (2016). Food and Agriculture Originations of the United Nation.
- Anonymous, (2016). Press Information Bureau, Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture Feb. 15. 2016. 16: 06 I.S.T.
- Bhanu, K.V. Rao, N.M. and Reddy, P.S. (2007). Compatibility of certain promising pesticides against planthoppers and sheath blight in rice. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection.* 35 (2): 279-282.
- Biswas, A. (2007). Evaluation of neem formulations against sheath blight disease of rice. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection.* 35 (2): 296-298.
- Dwivedi, J.L. (2014). Status paper on Rice in Uttar Pradesh. Rice Knowledge Management Portal (RKMP). Directorate of Rice Research, Rajendra nagar. Hyderabad.
- Gupta, R.P. (2002). Fungicidal management of web blight of mungbean. *J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol.* 32 (1): 141.
- Gurjar, A. Shahid, A. Masood, A. and Kangabam, S.S. (2012). Efficacy of plant extracts in plant disease management. *Agric. Sci.* 3: 425-433.

- Handiseni, M. Brown, J. Zemetra, R. and Mazzola, M. (2013). Effect of Brassicaceae seed meals with different glucosinolate profiles on *Rhizoctonia* root rot in wheat. *Crop Protection*. 48: 1-5.
- Hunjan, M.S. Love, J.S. Pannu, P.P.S. and Thind, T.S. (2011). Performance of some new fungicides against sheath blight and brown spot of rice. *Plant Dis. Res.* 26: 61-67.
- Kohli, C.K. (1966). Pathogenicity and host range studies on paddy sheath blight pathogen *Rhizoctoniasolani* Kühn. *Journal and Research*. P.A.U. 3: 7-40.
- Kumar, R.B.P. Reddy, K.R.N. and Rao, K.S. (2009). Sheath blight disease of *Oryza sativa* and its management by biocontrol and chemical control *in vitro*. *Electronic Journal of Environmental, Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 8 (8): 639-646.
- Mishra, B.D. Sahoo, K.C. Sugata, G. and Rout, M.K. (2005). *In vitro* evaluation of plant extracts, oil cakes and agrochemicals against web blight of green gram caused by *Rhizoctoniasolani*. *J. Mycopatho. Res.* 43: 255-257.
- Miyake, I. (1910). Studies über die pilze der Reisopflanze in Japan, *J. coll. Agric.* Tokyo. 2: 237-276.
- Pane, C. Spaccini, R. Piccolo, A. Scala, F. Bonanomi, G. (2011). Compost amendments enhance peat suppressiveness to *Pythiummultimum*, *Rhizoctoniasolani* and *Sclerotinia minor* *Biological Control*. 56: 115-124.
- Paracer, C.S. and Chahal, D.S. (1963). Sheath blight of rice caused by *rhizoctoniasolani* Kühn – A new record in India, *Curr. Sci.* 32: 328-329.
- Park, H.J. Lee, J.Y. Moon, S.S.B. Hwang, K. (2003). Isolation and anti-omycete activity of nysol from *Anemarrhenaasphodeloides* rhizomes. *Phytochemistry*. 64: 997-1001.
- Ranjan, N. Laha, S.K. Bhattacharya, P.M. and Dutta, S. (2005). Evaluation of new fungicidal formulation for controlling the rice sheath blight disease. *Journal of Mycopathological Research*. 43(1): 113-115.
- Reinking, O.A. (1918). Philippine economic plant diseases. *Philippine Journal of Science*. 13: 165-274.
- Soledade, M. Pedras, C. Sarwae, M. G. Suchy, M. Adio, A.M. (2006). The phytoalexins from cauliflower, caulilexins A, B and C: Isolation, structure determination, syntheses and antifungal activity. *Phytochemistry*. 67: 1503-1509.
- Song, Z.W. Chen, X. Du, H. Zhang, L. Lin, H. Xu. (2011). Chemical constituents of *Picea obovatissima*. *Phytochemistry*. 72: 490-494.
- Sriraj, P.P. Sundravadana, S. Adhipathi and Alice, D. (2014). Efficacy of fungicides, botanicals and bioagents against *Rhizoctoniasolani* inciting leaf blight on turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). *African Journal of Microbiology Research*. 8 (36): 3284-3294.
- Tiwari, R. K.S. Chandravanshi, S.S. Ojha, B.M. and Thakur, B.S. (2002). *In vitro* and *In vivo* Efficacy of rice. *J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol.* 32 (3): 418.
- Verma, A.K. (2011). Efficacy of certain botanicals and bioagents against *Rhizoctoniasolani* (kuhn) causing web blight of mungbean (*Vignaradiata*) M.Sc. (Ag.) thesis. N.D.U.A.&T. Kumarganj, Faizabad.
- Vincent, J. M. (1947). Distortion of fungal hyphae in presence of certain inhibitions. *Nature*. 159- 850.
- Wei, C.T. (1934). *Rhizoctonia* sheath blight of rice. Bulletin, College of Agriculture and Forestry. University of Nanking 15: 21.
- Yadav, B.C. Gupta, R. P. and Singh, R.V. (2007). Comparative performance of *Trichoderma* spp. as seed dresser and soil application against *Fusarium* wilt of Pigeonpea. *J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol.* 35 (3): 541.
- Zheng, A. Lin, R. Zhang, D. Qin, P. Xu, L. Ai, P. Ding, L. Wang, Y. Chen, Y. Liu, Y. Sun, Z. Feng, H. Liang, X. Fu, R. Tang, C. Li, Q. Zhang, J. Xie, Z. Deng, Q. Li, S. Wang, S. Zhu, J. Wang, L. Liu, H. and Li, P. (2013). The evolution and pathogenic mechanisms of the rice sheath blight pathogen. *Nature Commun.* 4: 14-24.